

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,165	01/30/2004	Euljoon Park	A04P1011	7794	
36802 75	590 10/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PACESETTER, INC. 15900 VALLEY VIEW COURT			MALAMUD, DEBORAH LESLIE		
SYLMAR, CA 91392-9221			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3766		

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/769,165	PARK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Deborah Malamud	3766				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuenty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR aute, cause the application to become Al	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	January 2004.	•				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> is/al	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	bjected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		application No.				
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>		· ·				
application from the International Bure	· ·	Teodived III tillo National Grago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received.				
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/30/04.</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3766

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Park (U.S. 6,881,192). The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claims 1, 2, 9 and 13, Park discloses (column 1, lines 52-56) "an implantable cardiac device is programmed to detect an episode of sleep apnea and measure the duration of the episode. In one implementation, the implantable cardiac device initially confirms that a patient is at rest using an activity sensor or a posture sensor." The examiner considers this to be sensing circuitry to sense whether a patient is at rest, the sensing circuitry further being operative to sense cardiac electrical activity.

Art Unit: 3766

Park further discloses (column 1, lines 57-60) the implantable cardiac device "then monitors a respiration-related parameter (e.g., respiration rate, tidal volume, minute ventilation) or oxygen-related parameter (O<sub>2</sub> saturation, SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> pressure) to determine when the patient is experiencing a sleep apnea episode." The examiner considers this to be a sleep apnea detector to detect when a patient, who is at rest, is experiencing an episode of sleep apnea. Park discloses (column 11, lines 14-20) a process in which "respiration parameters used to detect hyperventilation and subsequent apnea conditions were used. This process for detecting apnea is effective for the case of central sleep apnea. Process (500) employs an O<sub>2</sub> sensor reading, such as O<sub>2</sub> saturation, as a way to detect apnea conditions in the case of obstructive sleep apnea and obstructive sleep apnea based on the cardiac electrical activity.

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Park discloses (column 7, lines 16-18) "the activity/position sensor may be implemented in many ways, including as a 3 dimensional DC accelerometer."

Regarding claims 4, 5, 10, 14 and 15, Park discloses (column 7, lines 52-57) "signals generated by the position sensor, MV [minute ventilation] sensor, and O<sub>2</sub> sensor are passed to the microcontroller for analysis by the sleep apnea detector. Such signals can be used to determine whether the patient is at rest, whether the patient is experiencing an episode of sleep apnea, when to begin measuring a duration of a sleep apnea." The examiner considers this to be a sensing circuit configured to sense a respiration-related signal, and a sleep apnea detector that detects the episode of sleep

Application/Control Number: 10/769,165

Art Unit: 3766

apnea based upon the respiration-related signal. The respiration-related signal is a signal indicative of minute ventilation, and of O<sub>2</sub> saturation.

Regarding claim 6, Park discloses (column 9, lines 40-45) "the device will be described as monitoring a respiration signal representative of tidal volume. The thresholds  $TH_{HV}$  and  $TH_A$  are set to predetermined amplitude levels of the tidal value that are suggestive of hyperventilation and sleep apnea." See Figure 4. The examiner considers this to be using amplitude modulation of intracardiac electrogram waveforms to differentiate between the central sleep apnea and the obstructive sleep apnea. While Park remains silent on whether the differentiation is between central and obstructive sleep apneas, a device employing amplitude modulation, as taught by Park, would inherently be able to distinguish between central and obstructive sleep apnea based on the data gathered. See Figure 5.

Regarding claims 7, 12 and 16, Park discloses (column 11, lines 62-64) "the device can be optionally configured to administer pacing therapy in response to detection of the sleep apnea episode." See Figure 5. The examiner considers this to be a sleep apnea therapy module to administer different pacing therapy depending upon whether the sleep apnea detector classified the sleep apnea as central apnea or obstructive sleep apnea.

Regarding claims 8 and 13, Park discloses (column 5, lines 55-57) "cardiac signals are supplied to an analog-to-digital (A/D) data acquisition system, which is configured to acquire intracardiac electrogram signals." The device also has the other claimed features, as explained above.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,165

Art Unit: 3766

Regarding claim 11, Park discloses (column 11, lines 14-20) a process in which "respiration parameters used to detect hyperventilation and subsequent apnea conditions were used. This process for detecting apnea is effective for the case of central sleep apnea. Process (500) employs an O<sub>2</sub> sensor reading, such as O<sub>2</sub> saturation, as a way to detect apnea conditions in the case of obstructive sleep apnea." The examiner considers this to be differentiating between central sleep apnea and obstructive sleep apnea based on the cardiac electrical activity.

Regarding claim 12, Park discloses (column 4, lines 8-10) the device "further includes an atrial pulse generator that generates pacing stimulation pulses."

Regarding claims 17-21, in view of the structure as disclosed by Park, the method of operating or using the device would be inherent because it is the normal and logical means by which the device can be used.

## Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - U.S. 6,375,623 to Gavriely, disclosing Determination of apnea type
  - U.S. 4,576,183 to Plicchi et al, disclosing Electronic circuit for monitoring respiratory parameter for controlling operation of implantable medical device
  - U.S. 4,721,110 to Lampadius, disclosing Respiration-controlled cardiac pacemaker
  - U.S. 6,731,984 to Cho et al, disclosing Method for providing a therapy to a patient involving modifying the therapy after detecting an onset of sleep in the patient, and implantable medical device embodying same

Application/Control Number: 10/769,165 Page 6

Art Unit: 3766

U.S. 4,830,008 to Meer, disclosing Method and system for treatment of sleep apnea

- U.S. 5,540,732 to Testerman, disclosing Method and apparatus for impedance detecting and treating obstructive airway disorders
- U.S. 6,126,611 to Bourgeois et al, disclosing Apparatus for management of sleep apnea
- U.S. 6,345,202 to Richmond et al, disclosing Method of treating obstructive sleep apnea using implantable electrodes
- U.S. 5,671,733 to Raviv et al, disclosing Method of analyzing sleep disorders
- U.S. 4,506,678 to Russell et al, disclosing Patient monitor for providing respiration and electrocardiogram signals
- U.S. 6,223,064 to Lynn et al, disclosing Microprocessor system for the simplified diagnosis of sleep apnea
- U.S. 5,704,345 to Berthon-Jones, disclosing Detection of apnea and obstruction of the airway in the respiratory system
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Malamud whose telephone number is (571) 272-2106. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8.00am-5.30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571)272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,165 Page 7

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert E Pezzuto

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3766